LIC Requester.   Connor Jorgensen	LFC Requester:	Connor Jorgensen
-----------------------------------	----------------	------------------

# AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS 2016 REGULAR SESSION

## WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, EMAIL ANALYSIS TO:

# LFC@NMLEGIS.GOV

and

### **DFA@STATE.NM.US**

{Include the bill no. in the email subject line, e.g., HB2, and only attach one bill analysis and related documentation per email message}

#### **SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION** {Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill} Check all that apply: **Date** January 19, 2016 **Bill No**: HJM1 **Original** X Amendment Correction Substitute Sen. Carlos R. Cisneros & **Agency Code:** 305 **Sponsor:** Rep. James E. Smith Enhanced Protection of Cultural Short **Person Writing** Caroline Manierre, A.A.G. Items Title: **Phone:** 505-827-6079 **Email** cmanierre@nmag.gov

### SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT

### **APPROPRIATION** (dollars in thousands)

Appropriation		Recurring	Fund	
FY16	FY17	or Nonrecurring	Affected	

 $(Parenthesis\ (\ )\ Indicate\ Expenditure\ Decreases)$ 

#### **REVENUE** (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring	Fund
FY16	FY17	FY18	or Nonrecurring	Affected

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

# ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY16	FY17	FY18	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total						

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

#### **SECTION III: NARRATIVE**

#### **BILL SUMMARY**

This analysis is neither a formal Attorney General's Opinion nor an Attorney General's Advisory Letter. This is a staff analysis in response to an agency's, committee's, or legislator's request.

#### **Synopsis:**

HJM1 is a joint memorial resolving that, pursuant to federal and state law and policy, the attorney general and cultural affairs department work with tribal and community leaders to review the Cultural Properties Act and make recommendations about enhanced protection of cultural items, including preventing misappropriation of cultural items, theft, wrongful sale, and alienation of cultural items.

#### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Note: major assumptions underlying fiscal impact should be documented.

Note: if additional operating budget impact is estimated, assumptions and calculations should be reported in this section.

**SIGNIFICANT ISSUES:** None

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS: N/A

#### **ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS:**

The memorial resolves that the attorney general work to make recommendations regarding enhanced protection of cultural items, which may result in additional staff time or resources.

### CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP: None

#### **TECHNICAL ISSUES:**

It might be helpful to clarify which attorney general is being referenced due to the citations to both federal and state law.

**OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES: N/A** 

**ALTERNATIVES:** N/A

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL: Status quo

**AMENDMENTS:** N/A